ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

LATHAM&WATKINS LEP CASE NO. C-04-4264-RS

[Proposed] Stipulation to Extend Discovery

1	
2	14,
3	22,
4	the
5	doo
6	par
7	log
8	
9	Pre
10	LL
11	Un
12	fol
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

On July 24, 2013, this Court ordered discovery be reopened and extended to November 2013 pursuant to a stipulation by the below parties for several limited purposes. On October 2013, this Court extended discovery to February 28, 2014 pursuant to a stipulation to allow parties to resolve some outstanding discovery issues including the additional production of cuments by several parties and the taking of depositions of designated trial witnesses. The ties now request a one-month additional extension to discovery due to some scheduling and sistics issues with the taking of some depositions of designated trial witnesses.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 29 and Local Rule 6-2, Petitioners Presidio Growth, LLC and esidio Resources, LLC ("Petitioners"), Intervenors J. Paul Reddam and Clarence Ventures, C, Intervenors Tom Gonzales and Birch Ventures, LLC ("Intervenors"), and Respondent, the ited States, hereby request that the Court permit discovery in this case to be extended for the lowing enumerated limited purposes until March 31, 2014:

- The parties may resolve any currently outstanding issues related to the United States' August 22 and September 12 supplementations of its prior discovery responses.
- The parties may complete the process of production and review of the Stein/Larson documents, as agreed to in the parties' prior stipulation of July 24, 2013 and October 22, 2013.
- The parties may take depositions of designated trial witnesses that have not yet been deposed in this case. <sup>1</sup> In so stipulating to this extension, counsel for the United States does not agree, at this time, to use of any such depositions in connection with pending dispositive motions.

26

27

28

It is the United States' position that depositions should be limited to witnesses listed on adverse parties' trial witness lists, exchanged on September 30, 2013. However, the Intervenors disagree, and the parties are continuing to work to resolve this dispute without the Court's intervention.

[Proposed] Stipulation to Extend Discovery

ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

ATHAM&WATKINS LEP CASE NO. C-04-4264-RS

[Proposed] Stipulation to Extend Discovery